

The Deployable Structures Library

Prepared for:

The American Modelica Conference 2018

Prepared by:

Cory Rupp, Ph.D. cory.rupp@ata-e.com

Date:

October 10, 2018

1597 Cole Boulevard, Suite 375, Lakewood, CO 80401 13290 Evening Creek Drive, Suite 250, San Diego CA 92128 **(858) 480-2000**







Deployable Structures Are Difficult To Model

- Nearly all spacecraft have deployable structures
 - Solar arrays, antennas, sensors
 - Volume in launch vehicle fairing is limited
- Deployment while in orbit is the #1 risk
 - If it doesn't deploy, nothing else matters
- Most of these structures have unique deployment mechanisms that are difficult to model
 - Often impossible with standard modeling tools
 - Need for adaptable modeling tool
- Flexible multi-body dynamics is also necessary to provide design guidance

ТΛ

ENGINEERING.INC

Modal analysis, structural deflections





Modelica Enables Modeling Deployable Structures in Early Design Phases

- Immature designs require flexibility in component selection, sizing, and function
 - Greatly aided by Modelica parameterization
- Some problems (e.g., solar array scaling) require performing topological changes
 - Change the number of panels or sections
 - > Also parameterizable in Modelica (with recompilation)
- > Unique mechanisms can be modeled
 - > Deployable boom, lanyard on a spool, latches, etc.



ISS solar array

The Deployable Structures Library (DeployStructLib)

- Compliments the MultiBody library of the Modelica Standard Library
- Contains specialized modeling blocks often used in deployable structures:
 - Variable length flexible beam models a deploying boom
 - Tension-only/compression-only springs
 - Release and stop/lock mechanisms
 - ➢ Flexible cloth modeling capability
- Uses a top-level property definition workflow typically of other engineering software
- ➤Usage examples included





DeployStructLib Development Driven by Difficult-to-Model Structures

> During solar array deployment, several analysis challenges exist

- Deploying mast changes stiffness and inertia continuously over time
- Solar blanket must unfold
- Blanket is tensioned at the end of deployment, adding stress stiffening





Property Definition Workflow

- Most structural engineering software (especially finite elements) define material, cross-sectional, and other properties at the top level
 - These are merely referenced by the entities that use them
- The DeployStructLib implements a similar scheme via Modelica record blocks
- ➤Currently three types
 - ➤ Material properties
 - Beam cross-sectional properties (standard and EAGJ formulations)
 - \succ Cloth properties (equivalent to an FE shell)
- ➢Passed into modeling blocks as parameters





DeployStructLib.Parts

➢Beams

- Parameterized to model either a rigid or flexible Euler beam
 - Makes model building and debugging significantly easier
- Flexible beam follows formulation of Schiavo et al (2006), with updates for property definition
- Rigid beam follows the MSL BodyShape block, again with property updates



➤VariableLengthBeam

- Flexible beam that updates its stiffness as mass as it changes length
- Geared toward slow-moving space structures (makes quasi-static assumptions)



>Locks, stops, and barriers

- Prevent MultiBody joints from moving too far
- \succ Translational and rotational versions
- > Nonlinear springs using Modelica semiLinear function
- Tension-only, compression-only, and release mechanisms
 - MultiBody models to simulate straps, wires, kick-off springs, contact, etc.
- ≻Tensioned wire
 - ➢ Not in the library yet, but soon
 - Supports spooling and multiple interfaces (i.e., routing)
 - ➤ Example: Bouncing balls on a wire



Bouncing Balls on a Wire





A Fix for Kinematic Loops: Weak Joints

- Deployable structures often use mechanisms to create mechanical advantage, typically resulting in kinematic loops
- > Often difficult to identify loops a priori
 - ➤ Especially for non-power users of Modelica
 - > Even harder to set up problem properly with cut joints
- DeployStructLib introduces a set of weak joints to selectively break kinematic loops
 - Constraint equations are written in weak form:

```
r_rel_a = Frames.resolve2(frame_a.R, frame_b.r_0 - frame_a.r_0);
```

```
frame_b.f = -Frames.resolve2(R_rel, -c_constraint * r_rel_a);
```

Rather than strong form:

```
frame_b.r_0 = frame_a.r_0;
```

```
frame_b.f = -Frames.resolve1(R_rel, frame_a.f);
```

- It would be better if Modelica had a way to force an equation to be in residue form
 - Similar to the equalityConstraint function

Not ideal, but it saves headaches by getting the model running



Modeling Solar Blankets with the Cloth Block

A solar blanket consists of solar cells attached to a thin membrane that acts like a heavy fabric when deployed

➢Requires solving a geometrically nonlinear problem

- DeployStructLib implements a new finite element formulation to efficiently model such structures
 - Geometrically nonlinear without updates to the stiffness matrix
 - ➤ Mass modeled with lumped masses

 \succ See paper for derivation

≻Uses a new "Location" mechanical connector:

connector Location "Location of the component with one cut-force"
SI.Position r_0[3] "Position vector from world frame to the
 connector frame origin, resolved in world frame";
flow SI.Force f[3] "Cut-force resolved in world frame";
end Location;



Cloth Modeling

Cloth undeformed shape defined by four parameter point locations

Initial location also defined by four points
 Options for folding patterns and discretization

Initialization performed via precompiled "C" code functions

- Sets stiffness matrix and mass values as parameters
- No reason to have Modelica compile each time



MegaFlex Solar Array and Solar Sail Deployment Animations







Origami Solar Array Deployment

Origami parameters: M=6 H=2 R=2



Fully parameterized model allows for automated changes to material, structural, dimensional, and topological properties

Deployment simulation fixed at center, tip forces pull open



Topologically Inconsistent Updates Analyzed With the Same Model

Origami parameters: M=3 H=2 R=2



Exact same model as previous example
 Only origami parameter M changed
 Results in different origami layout and different structural topology



Origami Array Deployment – One Model, Many Designs

Origami parameters: M=6, H=2, R=2 Origami parameters: M=3, H=2, R=2



More info on this origami solar array design can be found in: Zirbel et al, Journal of Mechanical Design, 135, 2013.



Conclusions

Available on GitHub

https://github.com/ATAEngineering/DeployStructLib

Developed using OpenModelica

Help ensuring compatibility with other compilers would be much appreciated

Bug reports and suggestions are always welcome

Work supported through a NASA Phase SBIR (NASA Langley)

Technical monitors: Geoff Rose and Richard Pappa

Contract: NNX14CL07C



Contact Us



13290 Evening Creek Drive Suite 250, San Diego, CA 92128 (858) 480-2000 info@ata-e.com www.ata-e.com www.ata-plmsoftware.com @ATAEngineering ata-engineering

